Score sheet proforma for the qualitative criteria

Panel members assessing the EOI submission will use this sheet to record their individual qualitative scores. Each criteria for each PSCP should be assessed against the scale described on the tab and recorded with accompanying rationale. This should be undertaken individually prior to consensus scoring.

Scoring reference	
Exceptional: Exceeds all criteria	100
Excellent: A positive match with all elements of criteria	90
Very Good: A positive match with most elements of criteria	80
Substantially meets: A positive match with at least two thirds of elements of criteria	70
Good: A positive match with over half of elements of criteria	60
Average: A positive match with at least half of the elements of criteria	50
Below Average: A positive match with less than half of the elements of criteria	40
Barely meets: A positive match with one third of the elements of criteria	30
Poor: Unacceptable on most counts	20
Very Poor: Unacceptable on almost all counts	10
Unacceptable: Non answer/unacceptable on everything	0

Major and Minor Works Schemes at Milton Keynes University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Completed by:	Completion date:
PSCP:	

Rank	Selection criteria	Score
1	Cost Management Local note: The client requires production of a detailed and deliverable cost plan for the lead project to the current approved design detailed in appendix D to the HLIP. Afrodability is as set out in the HLIP (£11,748m) but the client recogniosed this is challanging and that the project will need to be subject to value engineering once the PSDCP is appointed. The client therefore requires the Cost Plan to be both deliverable and not to exceed £13.5m, which will be subject to value engineering once the PSCP is apppointed. Scoring note:	
2	Delivery confidence Local note: The Client requires a demonstration of the ability of the PSCP to deliver the project within a time and cost that, subject to reasonable vlaue engineering, can deliver the completion of the works on site by October 2019. The Client is committed to the approved plan and clinical content. Please demonstrate the level of confidence in the proposed cost plan. Scoring note:	
3	Strength of team and leader Local note: Client requires details of proposed site teams and design coordinator/manager and how the design development will be managed to deliver an affordable project within the agrred cost plan Scoring note:	
4	Relevant experience Local note: The Client requires detail of projects of a similar size and nature that have successfully demonstrated vlaue engineering in order to deliver a challanging GMP. Scoring note:	
5	Client satisfaction Local note: Client requires a track record of delivery of affordable projects to time and budget	
	Scoring note:	