Qualitative Criteria summary

Ranked and weighted criteria will carry through to this summary sheet. Pdf this sheet and include it as Appendix A to the High Level Information Pack issued to PSCPs. It is project specific and informs them what they will be assessed against and how.

Major and Minor Works Schemes at Milton Keynes University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Rank	Selection criteria	Weighting
1	Cost Management Local note: The client requires prodcution of a detailed and deliverable cost plan for the lead project to the current approved design detailed in appendix D to the H-LIP. Affrodability is as set out in the H-LIP (£11,748m) but the client recognised this is challanging and that the project will need to be subject to value engineering once the PSDCP is appointed. The client therefore requires the Cost Plan to be both deliverable and not to exceed £13,5m, which will be subject to value engineering once the PSCP is appointed.	100
2	Delivery confidence	80
	Local note: The Client requires a demonstration of the ability of the PSCP to deliver the project within a time and cost that, subject to reasonable vlaue engineering, can deliver the completion of the works on site by October 2019. The Client is committed to the approved plan and clinical content. Please demonstrate the level of confidence in the proposed cost plan.	
	Strength of team and leader	70
3	Local note: Client requires details of proposed site teams and design coordinator/manager and how the design development will be managed to deliver an affordable project within the agrred cost plan	
4	Relevant experience	60
	Local note: The Client requires detail of projects of a similar size and nature that have successfully demonstrated viaue engineering in order to deliver a challanging GMP.	
	Client satisfaction	50
5	Local note: Client requires a track record of delivery of affordable projects to time and budget	